IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION
CASE NO. 1:12-cv-800-WTL-TAB

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.,
and TRUE THE VOTE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

J. BRADLEY KING, et al.

Defendants.

The deposition upon oral examination of

TRENT R. DECKARD, a witness produced and sworn before

me, Rachel L. Tookolo, a Notary Public in and for the

County of Hamilton, State of Indiana, taken on behalf

of the Plaintiffs at the Indiana Government Center,

South Building, 302 West Washington Street, Conference

Room 5, Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana,

commencing on the 29th day of May, 2013, pursuant to

the Applicable Rules of Procedure with notice as to

time and place thereof.

ALLIANCE COURT REPORTING
P.O. BOX 78261
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46278-0261
(317) 875-3914
1 (877) 867-8600
www.alliancecourtreporting.com

EXHIBIT

В

```
So in February --
 1
                       MR. CHRIS FEDELI: Can we take a
 2
       quick break really quick off the record?
 3
 4
                       (A short break was taken.)
 5
       So you first found out the counties exceeded the
 6
       total voting age population based on census data
       and Election Assistance Commission data in
 7
       February of 2012 when you got Plaintiffs' letter,
       correct?
 9
10
       Yes.
11
       So you didn't -- you hadn't been monitoring any of
12
       that data prior to February of 2012 in your
13
       office?
       We -- one of the other capacities of the
14
15
       Co-Directors and the Election Division as a whole
16
       is we do maintain precincts for the State of
17
       Indiana, and so we work very closely through our
18
       Precinct Coordinator through -- with the Office of
19
       Census Data which assists the General Assembly
20
       through the Legislative Services Agency. So we
21
       always are monitoring census data for those
22
       purposes, but specifically with -- in relation to
23
       voter list maintenance, that's not a correlation
24
       for us, so to speak, because you have apples and
25
       oranges of information comparison.
```

1 What about the data that the counties report to the Election Assistance Commission? You're aware 2 3 of that data, correct? 4 Yes. Yeah, I jointly submit that with Mr. King. 5 You do? 6 Yes. 7 So you take some responsibility for the accuracy of that data? 9 We do. 10 So that -- that data reflects the number of voters on a county's registration list at any given time. 11 12 It's a snapshot in time, correct? 13 Correct. Okay. So you can take that snapshot in time and 14 15 then compare it with another snapshot in time, 1.6 such as census data, and then have a sense of how 1.7 many voters are on the rolls versus how many 18 18-year-old people -- or 18-year-old and over live 19 in a county, correct? 20 Α You could do that. I would not recommend 21 comparing census data with voter registration 22 rolls, in my opinion. This is not an independent 23 division. 24 If you took a snapshot of both at the same time 25 for two sets of data?

```
Yes.
 1
    Α
 2
       And why is that?
 3
       I believe that voter registration rolls with the
       protections afforded under NVRA for removal of no
 5
        longer eligible voters and the process that that
 6
        takes, I believe that that will automatically
 7
        inflate a number if -- in comparison to census
 8
       data. Both are gathered and entered into and
 9
       removed from in very different ways. As far as I
       know, the census worker is taking a census, that
10
11
       is, the snapshot for that household on that day
12
       that the census is taken --
13
       So what you're saying is --
       Can I --
14
15
       Please -- please, finish. Sorry.
16
       I just want to be clear here -- the voter
17
       registration record, however, for instance, for a
18
       county, if they have a high number of people
19
       that -- I'll give you a prime example. A
20
       community where either there's a university or
       there's a number of people that settled into that
21
22
       the community, move again within that community
       and without different factors affect very
23
       different counties. If you look at the map, the
24
25
       counties appear pretty even as far as what they
```

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
movers -- in other words, a voter moves from one
county in Indiana to another, one county has to
make an affirmative note of whether this is the
same voter or not, send something to another
county, then that county has to process a removal
and a transfer in order to complete the process.
Is that something you can also monitor via SVRS?
Yes.
And would it be the same process that you just
described for the removal of deceased people?
Yes.
And Baker Tilly and Quest, do they monitor this
information on a monthly basis?
I would believe that they would monitor that.
cannot point to a specific report at this time,
but they are tasked, particularly the Program
Manager, with monitoring all our functions to make
sure that they are healthy and functioning.
if there is ever an issue where a county perceives
that there's an issue, they're usually quick to
notify either through Quest, through the help
desk, Baker Tilly directly, or sometimes the
Division will forward that on to Baker Tilly to
follow up on.
Have you ever instructed anybody at Baker Tilly or
```

1 Quest to monitor that kind of activity about 2 counties and report back to you? 3 I couldn't speak to that specific activity, but I recall in the past that I have asked for various 5 functions or activities that might have been going 6 on to get more information. 7 And did you ever get -- and in the process of this 8 monitoring that you described that you will talk to Baker Tilly, they would tell you what counties 9 10 are doing in terms of processing SVRS -- did you 11 ever in the past few years in your office 12 encounter information indicating a county was not 1.3 removing voters as it should be? 14 I do not recall any time that I've encountered that. You mean as it should be? 15 16 In other words, a county -- yeah, a county is not 17 removing or not processing transfers, or not 18 notifying other counties of transfers. 1.9 flag -- we've been monitoring SVRS for the past 20 six months and this county has not touched their 21 hopper, has not sent anything to anybody else's 22 hopper, anything like that? 23 We had -- I can recall we had an instance -- and 24 it made some headlines particularly in LaPorte 25 County where they had removed an inappropriate

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continuing),
 1
 2
    QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRIS FEDELI:
       When you talked to Baker Tilly about the
 3
 4
       Department of Health and their efforts to ensure
 5
       the removal of deceased persons, have you ever
 6
       talked to them about the Department of Health's
 7
       efforts to obtain death information for people --
 8
       for registered voters who have died out of state?
 9
       Can you restate that?
    Α
10
       Yeah. Have you ever talked to -- let me give
11
       you -- have you ever talked to anybody about the
12
       Department of Health's process For obtaining death
13
      information for people who don't die in Indiana?
14
       I mean we have several counties that are on
15
       borders with other states, particularly our
16
       neighbors to the south, north, east, west, and in
17
       those cases there may be a high number of citizens
18
       that do cross into other states and then
19
       ultimately pass in a hospital or facility that is
20
       more convenient to them in another state than in
21
                  So what an ongoing concern is to make
22
       sure with those border counties that they're
23
       receiving the information they need to receive.
24
                      MR. CHRIS FEDELI:
                                          Madame Reporter,
25
       what exhibit are we up to?
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 24

25

in relation to the Department of Health hopper. I assume that the false positive is a reference to a record that is showing that a deceased individual that -- as it's compared with for -- to make sure that it's who they say that it is, that it's giving a match of some sort. And so if it were not giving -- it would give a high match, but not really an appropriate person, and the county may receive that as a false positive. Ultimately, that is why they, I think, operate with carefulness. Going back briefly just about the structure of the office in general, the Division: Is there any sort of division of labor between you, your staff, and Brad's staff, like you handle X and Brad tends to handle Y? There are -- over time, there have been things that developed that operate under bipartisan consent, but we have different staff differentiation. For instance, when we receive from a county outside of our state or a jurisdiction outside of our state information on a newly-registered voter, Brad has a staff member that would -- he's devoted to forwarding that information to the counties and processing that.